XIII. List of figures and tables

Figures

Chapter II
1. Time and space domains in MDPT analysis with reference to major atmospheric occurrences (Hense, Friederichs 2006, modified). Legend: 1 - direct spatial range of MDPT data, 2 - direct temporal range of MDPT data, 3 - indirect spatial range of MDPT data, 4 - indirect temporal range of MDPT data. Domains designated "A", "B", "C" and "D" are effects of a combination of the above-mentioned ranges. Detailed explanations in the text.
2. Relation between the area \( (F, \text{km}^2) \) and circumference \( (U^2, (\text{km})^2) \) of single Cumulonimbus clouds and their clusters (generating convective precipitation) in Germany (Hauf, Theusner 2003). Colour is used to present the number of clouds/clusters examined in the particular area and circumference classes. Detailed explanations in the text.

Chapter III
3. \( h \)-scattergrams of the first six lag distances, 2,500 m each, for MDPT data from July 1977. The diagrams also give the range of the distance, mean lag for all the data pairs in the given interval, number of pairs, and the coefficient of linear correlation between measurement results. Worth noting is a decreasing correlation of MDPTs with a growing distance between the sites.
4. Correlogram and semivariogram of MDPT data from July 1977 calculated for 85 lag intervals 2,500 m each. Marked in the diagram are the zero autocorrelation level and the sample variance amounting to 153.72, denoted in geostatistics as \( C(0) \) - autocovariance for a 0 lag distance.
5. Geometric interpretation of the value of semivariogram \( \gamma(h) \) as the mean of all the squared orthogonal distances \( d_{\alpha} \) from the diagonal (the first bisector) of the \( h \)-scattergram (Goovaerts 1997).
6. Autocovariance and a semivariogram of coded data can be interpreted as a proportion of points (data pairs) which occur in specified parts of the \( h \)-scattergram: autocovariance - the horizontally hatched area, semivariogram - the vertically hatched area (Goovaerts 1997). In preparing the figure use was made of the maximum annual daily total of precipitation of 18 July 1970. The diagram shows the values for 392 pairs of sites separated by a distance of 1.5 to 4.5 km. The threshold value \( z_k \) is the daily total of 100 mm.
7. Profile of rainfall stations (one dimensional data) working in May 1980, used to illustrate methods of the geostatistical analysis of coded data.
8. MDPTs registered in May 1980 at the stations situated along the profile (Fig. 7).
9. Cumulative distribution of the probability \( (cdf) \) of MDPTs registered at rainfall stations throughout Poland in May 1980. Marked in the diagram are daily totals with probabilities 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 0.9 and 0.95 of being exceeded (the 20th, 40th, ...., 95th percentiles).
10. Threshold values of MDPTs in May 1980 with probabilities of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 0.9 and 0.95 (Fig. 9, the 20th, 40th, 60th, 80th, 90th and 95th percentiles) mapped onto the profile data (Figs 7 and 8).
11. MDPTs registered in May 1980 along the analysed profile (Figs 7 and 8), coded into binary data vectors in accordance with formula [12] depending on how much they exceed threshold values determined from the global curve of the cumulative probability distribution (the 20th, 40th, 60th, 80th, 90th and 95th percentiles, Fig. 9).
12. Empirical semivariograms and their models for coded values (the 20th, 40th, 60th, 80th, 90th and 95th percentiles, Fig. 9) of MDPTs in Poland in May 1980.
13. Basic semivariogram models employed to describe the spatial structure of MDPTs and the estimation and simulation of their probability field (A). An example of a complex (nested) semivariogram model consisting of three basic models: nugget, exponential, and spherical (B). In the diagrams basic parameters of the models are indicated: sill variance (C), variance of the components \( (C_x, \text{partial sills}) \), range (\( a \)), and ranges of the components \( (a_x, \text{partial ranges}) \).
15. Examples of empirical semivariograms of coded data with outlying values of the first lag distances, and their models. A dashed line marks the variance level of data. A fuller explanation in the text.
16. Examples of chaotic empirical semivariograms of coded data, and models fitted to them. A dashed line marks the variance level of data. A fuller explanation in the text.
17. Examples of empirical semivariograms of coded data displaying no clear structure, and their models. A dashed line marks the variance level of data. A fuller explanation in the text.
Chapter IV

18. Annual precipitation totals on the territory of Poland over the years 1881-2000. Data for the century 1901-2000 come from the TYN CY 1.1 database (http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/~timm/cty/obs/TYN_CY_1_1.html), while the 1881-1990 figures have been derived from Kożuchowski (1985) and converted using linear regression.

19. Mean monthly precipitation totals in the century 1901-2000 (A) and mean deviations from them (B) in three multi-year periods: 1901-1955, 1956-1980 (marked with hatching) and 1981-2000. The data come from the TYN CY 1.1 database (http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/~timm/cty/obs/TYN_CY_1_1.html).

20. Relations between annual mean air temperatures and annual precipitation totals on the territory of Poland in the century 1901-2000 on the basis of the TYN CY 1.1 database (http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/~timm/cty/obs/TYN_CY_1_1.html). The multi-year period under analysis is marked by a different symbol.

21. Mean annual air temperature in the century 1901-2000 (A), and mean deviations from them (B) in three multi-year periods: 1901-1955, 1956-1980 (marked with hatching) and 1981-2000. Data come from the TYN CY 1.1 database (http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/~timm/cty/obs/TYN_CY_1_1.html).

Chapter V

22. Distribution and number of precipitation measuring sites in the multi-year period 1956-1980 with identical location co-ordinates: A - distribution of such sites in 1956 (a) and 1975 (b); symbol (c) denotes the location of major towns; B - changes in their number in the successive years of the multi-year period; a darker hue marks the number of cases where as many as three sites had the same co-ordinates.

23. List of the number of MDPT measurements in Poland and Germany used in the present work: a - monthly data from PIHM/IMGW Precipitation Yearbooks, b - annual data from PIHM/IMGW Precipitation Yearbooks, c - monthly data from the German side of the border zone, d - annual data from the German side of the border zone.

24. Statistics of the nearest neighbour distances and randomness of the spatial distribution of measuring points for which MDPTs were analysed in the successive months (A) and years (B) of the multi-year period 1956-80. Legend: (a) - mean distance to nearest neighbour, (b) - standard deviation of distance to nearest neighbour, (c) - skewness of distance to nearest neighbour, (d) - Clark-Evans index of random spatial distribution.

25. Distribution of measuring points in the months and years with their smallest and greatest numbers.

26. Frequency of the number of data points within a radius of 35 km from a node of the 1×1 km interpolation grid for the months and years of the multi-year period 1956-80 with the smallest and greatest density of the measuring network.

27. Frequency of the distance from a node of the 1×1 km interpolation grid to the nearest data point for the months and years of the multi-year period 1956-80 with the smallest and greatest density of the measuring network.

28. Distance of a node of the interpolation grid (1×1 km) from the nearest measuring site in the month with their smallest (II-56: 1,890) and greatest number (VIII-75: 2,707).

29. Distance of a node of the interpolation grid (1×1 km) from the nearest measuring site in the year with their smallest (1956: 1,768) and greatest number (1975: 2,698).

30. Number of measuring sites within a radius of 35 km from each node of the interpolation grid (1×1 km) in the month with their smallest (II-1956: 1,890) and greatest density (VIII-1975: 2,707).

31. Number of measuring sites within a radius of 35 km from each node of the interpolation grid (1×1 km) in the year with their smallest (1956: 1,768) and greatest density (1975: 2,698).

32. Difference in the number of data points within a radius of 35 km from a node of the 1×1 km interpolation grid between August 1975 (maximum number of rainfall measuring sites in the multi-year period 1956-80) and February 1956 (minimum number of sites) - A. Difference in the distance of a node of the 1x1 km interpolation grid to the nearest data point between August 1975 and February 1956 - B.

33. Comparison of empirical distribution functions of all the daily precipitation totals from the 1956-1980 period (1) with the distributions of monthly (2) and annual (3) MDPTs for three sites located in the German side of the border zone (cf. Appendix XIII.1).


35. Empirical distribution functions of MDPTs for the particular months of the multi-year period 1956-80: January to June. The thick red line marks mean distributions for the entire data set.

36. Empirical distribution functions of MDPTs for the particular months of the multi-year period 1956-80: July to December. The thick red line marks mean distributions for the entire data set.

37. Cumulative mean distributions of MDPTs for the particular months of the multi-year period 1956-80.

38. Cumulative distributions of annual MDPTs for the particular years of the multi-year period 1956-80. Colour is used to distinguish the mean distribution (for the entire data set) from extreme years significantly departing
Median, inter-quartile interval and 90% interval of monthly and annual MDPTs in the multi-year period 1956-80.

Mean values (b), standard deviation (a) and skewness (c) of MDPTs in the successive months (A) and years (B) of the multi-year period 1956-80.

Hypothetical data profiles over time or space illustrating common relations between local means and local variability (Isaaks, Srivastava 1989): (a) - the mean, represented by a horizontal line, and variability are constant, (b) - trend of the mean, variability remains constant, (c) - the mean is constant while variability increases or decreases, (d) - both local means and local variability increase or decrease.

Relation between the mean and the standard deviation of MDPTs in the particular months of the multi-year period 1956-80: A - global statistics, B - differences in rainfall amount in comparison with the nearest neighbour. Marked in the diagrams are the regression curve and its 99% confidence interval. Also given is the formula for the function and the coefficient of determination of the regression.

Median, inter-quartile interval and range of monthly and annual mean differences in MDPTs at the nearest sites in the multi-year period 1956-80.

Values of the mean (a), standard deviation (b) and skewness (c) of differences in MDPTs at the nearest sites in the successive months (A) and years (B) of the multi-year period 1956-80.


Point maps of standardised rainfall amounts for months characterised by the smallest (II-1976) and greatest (VII-1965) mean local variability of MDPTs.

Point maps of standardised rainfall amounts for the years characterised by the smallest (1962) and greatest (1965) mean local variability of MDPTs.

Recurrence (number of cases per year) of specified values of maximum monthly and annual daily totals in Poland determined on the basis of data from the multi-year period 1956-1980.

The dates and amounts of maximum annual daily precipitation recorded in Poland and in the German side of the border zone in the multi-year period 1956-80 (61,940 cases).

Directionless (isotropic, omnidirectional) semivariogram models of normalised data for monthly sets of MDPTs from January to June. The thick red line marks the mean semivariogram for the 25-year period 1956-1980.

Directionless semivariogram models of normalised data for monthly sets of MDPTs from July to December. The thick red line marks the mean semivariogram for the 25-year period 1956-1980.

25-year means of isotropic semivariogram models of normalised data for the particular monthly sets of MDPTs (cf. Figs 50 and 51).

Directionless semivariogram models of normalised data for annual MDPT sets. The thick red line marks the mean semivariogram for the 25-year period 1956-80. Colour is used to mark a few extreme cases.

Single images of conditional simulations of the MDPT field carried out for normalised data from January 1960 and March 1964.

Single images of conditional simulations of the annual MDPT field carried out for normalised data from 1965 and 1970.

Examples of empirical semivariograms of normalised data and their models for the five distinguished types of spatial structure of MDPTs. Arrows mark rough ranges of the particular structures.

Frequency of the distinguished types of spatial structure of MDPTs for monthly (A) and annual (B) data sets.

Seasonal variability of the five basic types of spatial structure of MDPTs in the 25-year period 1956-80.

Frequencies of values of the nugget variance ($C_0$) and threshold variance of the successive components ($C_1$, $C_2$ and $C_3$) of models of the spatial structure of normalised monthly MDPT data from the multi-year period 1956-80. Both axes are scaled in a way allowing a direct comparison of the diagrams.

Frequencies of the ranges of the successive components ($A_1$, $A_2$ and $A_3$) of models of the spatial structure of normalised monthly MDPT data from the multi-year period 1956-80. Only the frequency axis is scaled in a way allowing a direct comparison of the diagrams.

Frequencies of the ranges of all the components ($A_1$, $A_2$ and $A_3$ jointly) of models of the spatial structure of normalised monthly MDPT data from the multi-year period 1956-80.

Frequency of the component variance values of the trend assessed for a lag distance of 212.5 km.

Share of the particular components in the total spatial variability of monthly MDPTs in the multi-year period 1956-80.

Share of the particular components in the total spatial variability of annual MDPTs in the multi-year period 1956-80.
Dendrite of similarity among models of the spatial structure of normalised monthly MDPT data obtained using Ward's method of hierarchical clustering. A: the entire dendrite; B-C: its fragments enlarged to show markings of the particular cases. The rough ranges of the fragments are indicated with red arrows in Figure A. Fragments D-F are presented in Figure 66.

Enlarged fragments of the dendrite of similarity among models of the spatial structure of normalised monthly MDPT data obtained using Ward's method of hierarchical clustering. The entire dendrite and rough ranges of its fragments are presented in Figure 65A. Parts B and C of the dendrite can also be found in Figure 65.

Dendrite of similarity among models of the spatial structure of normalised annual MDPT data obtained using Ward's method of hierarchical clustering.

Criterion of the division of dendrites of similarity among models of the spatial structure of normalised MDPT data (Figs 65A and 76). Legend: a - agglomeration curve of annual data; b - level of division of annual data dendrite into 3 classes with collapsing distance equal to 5; c - agglomeration curve of monthly data; d - level of division of monthly data dendrite into 7 classes with collapsing distance equal to 24; e - level of division of monthly data dendrite into 4 classes with linkage distance equal to 40.

Mean semivariance values (thick lines) for the distinguished 7 classes of models of the spatial structure of normalised monthly MDPT data. Hatching is used to mark the range of one standard deviation, and thin lines, models of selected months most typical of each class (cf. maps in Figs 71 to 75).

Mean semivariance values (thick lines) for the distinguished 4 types of models of the spatial structure of normalised monthly MDPT data. Hatching is used to mark the range of one standard deviation, and thin lines, models of selected months most typical of each class (cf. maps in Figs 71 to 75).

Single images of conditional simulations of the MDPT field carried out for normalised data from January 1980 (an example of class 1 out of 4) and August 1958 (examples of class 2 out of 4 and 2 out of 7).

Single images of conditional simulations of the MDPT field carried out for normalised data from January 1974 (an example of class 3 out of 4) and August 1977 (examples of class 4 out of 4 and 5 out of 7).

Single images of conditional simulations of the MDPT field carried out for normalised data from March 1978 (an example of class 1 out of 7) and August 1976 (an example of class 3 out of 7).

Single images of conditional simulations of the MDPT field carried out for normalised data from January 1973 (an example of class 4 out of 7) and October 1970 (an example of class 6 out of 7).

Single image of a conditional simulation of the MDPT field carried out for normalised data from February 1978 (an example of class 7 out of 7).

Mean semivariance values (thick lines) for the distinguished 3 types of models of the spatial structure of normalised annual MDPT data. Hatching is used to mark the range of one standard deviation, and thin lines, models of selected years most typical of each class (cf. maps in Figs 77 and 78).

Single images of conditional simulations of the MDPT field carried out for normalised annual data from 1964 (an example of class 1 out of 3) and 1975 (an example of class 2 out of 3).

Single image of a conditional simulation of the MDPT field carried out for normalised annual data from 1964 (an example of class 3 out of 3).

Seasonal variability of mean variance values of the particular components of models of the spatial structure of monthly normalised MDPT data. The 95% confidence interval for the mean is marked.

Seasonal variability of mean ranges of the particular components of models of the spatial structure of monthly normalised MDPT data. The 95% confidence interval for the mean is marked. The range of A1 in January exceeded 168 km, which greatly affected diagram readability; therefore it has been omitted.

Relations between C0 and C1 means in the particular months of the year in the models of the spatial structure of normalised MDPT data and their standard deviations. Dashed lines mark the 95% interval of regression confidence.

Seasonal variability of the share of the nugget variance (d) set against the climatological correction factor (CF) of precipitation (a), and the correction factors for the years 1996 (b) and 1997 (c) obtained during the BALTEX experiment. Data for curves a, b and c were obtained from Ungersböck et al. (2001) and Rudolf and Rubel (2005). Detailed explanations in the text.

Temporal trend in the share of the nugget variance in models of the spatial structure of normalised monthly MDPT data for the multi-year period 1956-80. The abbreviation 'nr.M' in the formula denotes the consecutive month number in the multi-year period.

Temporal trend in the variance values of the first component in models of the spatial structure of normalised monthly MDPT data for the multi-year period 1956-1980. The abbreviation 'nr.M' in the formula denotes the consecutive month number in the multi-year period.

Temporal trend in the range values of the first component in models of the spatial structure of normalised monthly MDPT data for the multi-year period 1956-1980. The abbreviation 'nr.M' in the formula denotes the consecutive month number in the multi-year period.

Seasonal variability of the mean values of residuals from the nugget variance trend in models of the spatial
structure monthly normalised MDPT data. The 95% confidence interval for the mean is marked.
87. Relation between absolute nugget variance values of monthly MDPT sets calculated from the normalised data models and those coming from the original models of 'raw' measurement data. Detailed explanations in the text.
88. Variability of absolute variance values of the particular components in models of the spatial structure of monthly MDPTs. The absence of a component was treated as zero.
89. Variability of absolute variance values of the particular components in models of the spatial structure of annual MDPTs. The absence of a component was treated as zero.
90. Seasonal variability of mean values of the root of the variance of the particular components in models of the spatial structure of 'raw' monthly MDPT data. The 95% confidence interval for the mean is marked.
91. Dependence of the nugget variance (\(C_0\)) of monthly MDPTs on the standard deviation of precipitation total (SD) and the root of the mean squares of differences in precipitation in relation to the nearest neighbour (RMS). The colour of data points denotes the extent of their deviation from the regression surface (blue: < 1 SD of residuals, green: 1 - 2 SD, yellow: 2 - 3 SD, red: > 3 SD).

Chapter VII
92. Example of standardised empirical semivariograms (A) and their models (B) for a sequence of threshold values (the 1st, 5th, 10th, 20th, ..., 90th, 95th, 99th percentiles) of MDPTs from July 1963. To facilitate comparison, the same colours are used, and in the successive diagrams the last semivariogram of the preceding diagram is repeated.
93. Difference between the observed and the expected frequencies of use of the elementary models (spherical, exponential and Gaussian) to model the first component of the spatial structure of coded data in relation to the season (A) and relative rainfall amount (B).
94. Seasonal variability of mean variance values of the particular components in models of the spatial structure of coded monthly MDPT data. The 95% confidence interval for the mean is marked.
95. Variability of mean variance values of the particular components in models of the spatial structure of coded monthly MDPT data depending on the relative rainfall amount. The 95% confidence interval for the mean is marked.
96. Variability of mean range values of the first component in models of the spatial structure of coded monthly MDPT data depending on the relative rainfall amount. The 95% confidence interval for the mean is marked.
97. Variability of mean variance values of the particular components in models of the spatial structure of coded annual MDPT data depending on the relative rainfall amount. The 95% confidence interval for the mean is marked.
98. Variability of mean range values of the first component of models of the spatial structure of coded annual MDPT data depending on the relative rainfall amount. The 95% confidence interval for the mean is marked.
99. Statistical distribution (the minimum, first quartile, median, third quartile, maximum) of absolute MDPT values for the particular thresholds for monthly (A) and annual (B) data. To improve diagram readability, the right axis (for the 60th-99th percentiles) is scaled in reverse order.
100. Variability of the nugget variance depending on the absolute rainfall amount in models of the spatial structure of coded monthly MDPT data. Legend: a - data, b - polynomial regression curve with 95% confidence interval marked, c - distribution of data smoothed with spline functions (Loess, B-spline of order 3, 12% of smoothing).
101. Variability of the variance of the first component depending on the absolute rainfall amount in models of the spatial structure of coded monthly MDPT data. Legend: a - data, b - polynomial regression curve with 95% confidence interval marked, c - distribution of data smoothed with spline functions (Loess, B-spline of order 3, 10% of smoothing).
102. Variability of the variance of the second component depending on the absolute rainfall amount in models of the spatial structure of coded monthly MDPT data. Legend: a - data, b - polynomial regression curve with 95% confidence interval marked, c - distribution of data smoothed with spline functions (Loess, B-spline of order 3, 8% of smoothing). Eliminated from calculations were four most outlying results. One of them, visible in the diagram, is marked with a symbol different from those used for the other data.
103. Variability of the range of the first component depending on the absolute rainfall amount in models of the spatial structure of coded monthly MDPT data. Legend: a - data, b - polynomial regression curve with 95% confidence interval marked, c - distribution of data smoothed with spline functions (Loess, B-spline of order 3, 8% of smoothing). Eliminated from calculations were 97 most outlying results. Some of them, visible in the diagram, are marked with symbols different from those used for the other data.
104. Variability of the nugget variance depending on the absolute rainfall amount in models of the spatial structure of coded MDPT data for the particular months, illustrated with the help of polynomial functions. For January and July, the 95% confidence interval for the functions is marked.
105. Variability of the variance of the first component depending on the absolute rainfall amount in models of the spatial structure of coded MDPT data for the particular months, illustrated with the help of polynomial

- Variability of the variance of the first component depending on the absolute rainfall amount in models of the spatial structure of coded annual MDPT data. To improve diagram readability, the right axis (for the 60th-99th percentiles) is scaled in reverse order.
functions. For January and July, the 95% confidence interval for the functions is marked.

106. Variability of the variance of the second component depending on the absolute rainfall amount in models of the spatial structure of coded MDPT data for the particular months, illustrated with the help of polynomial functions. For January and July, the 95% confidence interval for the functions is marked.

107. Variability of the range of the first component depending on the absolute rainfall amount in models of the spatial structure of coded MDPT data for the particular months, illustrated with the help of polynomial functions. For January and July, the 95% confidence interval for the functions is marked.

108. Variability of the nugget variance depending on the absolute rainfall amount in models of the spatial structure of coded annual MDPT data. Legend: a - data, b - polynomial regression curve with 95% confidence interval marked, c - distribution of data smoothed with spline functions (Loess, B-spline of order 3, 20% of smoothing). Eliminated from calculations were four most outlying results. Two of them, visible in the diagram, are marked with symbols different from those used for the other data.

109. Variability of the variance of the first component depending on the absolute rainfall amount in models of the spatial structure of coded annual MDPT data. Legend: a - data, b - polynomial regression curve with 95% confidence interval marked, c - distribution of data smoothed with spline functions (Loess, B-spline of order 3, 20% of smoothing). Eliminated from calculations were four most outlying results. Two of them, visible in the diagram, are marked with symbols different from those used for the other data.

110. Variability of the variance of the second component depending on the absolute rainfall amount in models of the spatial structure of coded annual MDPT data. Legend: a - data, b - polynomial regression curve with 95% confidence interval marked, c - distribution of data smoothed with spline functions (Loess, B-spline of order 3, 20% of smoothing). Eliminated from calculations were four most outlying results. Two of them, visible in the diagram, are marked with symbols different from those used for the other data.

111. Variability of the range of the first component depending on the absolute rainfall amount in models of the spatial structure of coded annual MDPT data. Legend: a - data, b - polynomial regression curve with 95% confidence interval marked, c - distribution of data smoothed with spline functions (Loess, B-spline of order 3, 20% of smoothing). Eliminated from calculations were four most outlying results. Four of them, visible in the diagram, are marked with symbols different from those used for the other data.

Chapter VIII

112. Dates of occurrence and MDPTs in Poland in the multi-year period 1956-1980 generalised in pentads and 5-mm rainfall intervals (cf. Fig. 49). Legend: A - number of cases; B - probability.

113. One-dimensional example of kernel density interpolation for 5 points and a bandwidth pattern conforming to the normal distribution. Figures A and B present the effect of the interpolation at various bandwidths. They were plotted using the example given in CrimeStat III (2004).

114. Probability of occurrence of a specified value of annual MDPTs on a given day in Poland, estimated using the kernel density interpolation method - an isarithmic map (top) and a block diagram (bottom). Note: To enhance the visualisation of low probabilities on the map, the class intervals are not equal.

115. Probability of occurrence of a specified value of annual MDPTs and lower on a given day in Poland, and a division into seasons.

116. Temporal profiles of the probability of occurrence of a specified value of an annual MDPT.

117. Profiles of the probability of occurrence (A) and share of a specified value of an annual MDPT (B) for selected days of the year.

118. Mean (A) and the difference between the mean and the median (B) of the date of occurrence of an annual MDPT in the multi-year period 1956-80. Hatching is used to mark the range of tentative regions whose data were used to test the significance of the differences.

119. First (A) and third (B) quartile of the date of occurrence of an annual MDPT in the multi-year period 1956-80.

120. Standard deviation of the date of occurrence of an annual MDPT in the multi-year period 1956-80.

121. Distribution of the dates of occurrence of an annual MDPT in the five tentatively distinguished regions (cf. Fig. 118). Marked in the diagrams are also mean dates and their 95% confidence intervals. The frequency scale is the same in all the diagrams.

122. Directionless (isotropic, omnidirectional) semivariogram models of the dates of occurrence of annual MDPTs. The thick red line marks the mean semivariogram for the 25-year period 1956-1980. Colour is used to mark a few extreme cases.

X. Addenda

123. Typical pattern of analysis of climatological data. Detailed explanations in the text.

124. Pattern of analysis of climatological data accommodating all the available measurement results, and next their spatial and then a temporal synthesis. Detailed explanations in the text.

125. Pattern of a full geostatistical analysis. The elements covered in the present dissertation occur against a grey
there were only four such locations: Szczecin monthly sets had been measured more than 5 times. In the analysis of the spatial structure: A Distribution of rainfall stations at which those MDPTs had been measured which were masked in the course of measuring points from only five selected years: 1 Number and proportion of data masked in the course of analysis of the spatial structure against the total number of data in the particular annual MDPT sets. B Comparison of an empirical semivariogram (a) and its model (b) of measurement data of annual 1976 MDPTs with empirical semivariograms (c) of its estimation (expected IK mean: E-type mean) and (d) its simulation (the first three realisations). Semivariograms (c) and (d) were calculated for 2,700 data taken at random from a 1 \times 1 km grid (319,114 nodes).

Variability of the field of annual 1976 MDPTs, estimated (expected IK mean: E-type mean) and simulated in a 1 \times 1 km grid (the first three realisations).

Schematic diagram of the complete procedure of analysis and modelling of the spatial structure, and of the estimation and simulation of the probability field of maximum daily precipitation for a single set of monthly or annual data. Optional operations are marked with a dashed line. The steps followed in the present work are shown against a grey background.

Schematic diagram of the surface of models of simple (A) and nested (B) semivariances with an isotropic autocorrelation of data. Legend: a - raster map in flat projection, b, c, d - images in perspective: raster map, isarithmic map, and three-dimensional wireframe model, respectively.

Schematic diagram of the surface of nested models of anisotropic semivariances with a geometric (A) and geometric-zonal (B) type of anisotropy. Legend: a - raster map in flat projection, b, c, d - images in perspective projection: raster map, isoline map, and three-dimensional grid model, respectively.

Surfaces (maps) of semivariograms for the years 1956-1967. The range of values presented in each of the maps is different. Each pixel has 4 \times 4 km. Detailed explanations in the text.

Surfaces (maps) of semivariograms for the years 1968-1980. The range of values presented in each of the maps is different. Each pixel has 4 \times 4 km. Detailed explanations in the text.

Surfaces (maps) of semivariance for the particular months of 1977. The range of values presented in each of the maps is different. Each pixel has 4 \times 4 km. Detailed explanations in the text.

Location of two control points of Gaussian anamorphosis using Hermitian polynomials (Bleines et al. 2007). A fuller explanation in the text.

Schematic diagram of determining a 'practical' and an 'absolute definition interval' of the model of Gaussian anamorphosis (Bleines et al. 2007). A detailed description in the text.

Example of a normalisation (Gaussian anamorphosis) of MDPT data from July 1976. Legend: a - cumulative empirical distribution function (cdf), b - distribution curve of normalised data plotted using 30 Hermitian polynomials, c - unrealistic extrapolation of distribution outside the range of empirical data, d - distribution limits of empirical data (3.1 - 166.1 mm), e - interval limits of normalised data (-3.43 - 3.43). Histograms and descriptive statistics of MDPTs from July 1976: A - raw measurement data in mm, B - data normalised using the methodology described in the text.

Spatial structure of MDPT data from July 1976. Legend: a, b - semivariogram and variance of the entire set of raw data (2,685 cases), c, d - semivariogram and variance of selected raw data (2,672 cases), e, f - semivariogram and variance of the entire data set after normalisation, g, h - semivariogram and variance of selected normalised data.

Directionless (isotropic, omnidirectional) empirical semivariogram of raw measurement MDPT data from June 1976. It was calculated for 15 lag distances, each 2.5 km wide. With each semivariance value is given the number of data pairs from which it was calculated.

Schematic diagram of the procedure of interactive cleaning of a semivariogram based on the cloud diagram of the semivariogram (A) and a location map with symbols proportional to measurement results (B). Detailed explanations in the text.

Corrected directionless empirical semivariogram of raw measurement MDPT data from June 1976 - compare with Figure 140. With each semivariance value is given the number of data pairs from which it was calculated; comparison with Figure 140 offers information about the number of eliminated (masked) pairs.

Number and proportion of data masked in the course of analysis of the spatial structure against the total number of data in the particular monthly MDPT sets.

Number and proportion of data masked in the course of analysis of the spatial structure against the total number of data in the particular annual MDPT sets.

Distribution of rainfall stations at which those MDPTs had been measured which were masked in the course of analysis of the spatial structure: A - monthly sets, B - annual sets. For simplicity, marked on map A are measuring points from only five selected years: 1956, 1960, 1965, 1970 and 1975.

Distribution of rainfall stations at which MDPTs masked in the course of analysis of the spatial structure of monthly sets had been measured more than 5 times. In the remaining part of Poland, not shown on the map, there were only four such locations: Szczecin - Warszewo (6 times), Warsaw - the National Museum (8),
Wyszków on the Bug (7), and Klusy on the south-eastern margin of the Great Mazurian Lakeland (7).

147. Probability curves of finding a data point within a given radius (distance) from another point for months with the smallest (February 1956) and greatest (August 1975) number of data (details in chapter III). Marked for both data sets is a distance with 95% of probability that the point is situated farther.
XI. 2. Tables

Chapter III
1. Approximate proportion of coded semivariograms with anomalous values for first lag distances depending on the month and threshold value (percentile, P). Legend: R - annual MDPTs, Ŝ - mean value. Colour is used to mark value intervals: 0-5, 6-10, and then every 10, from 11 to 80.
2. Approximate proportion of coded semivariograms with chaotic fluctuations of values of for the entire distance range analysed, depending on the month and threshold value (percentile, P). Legend: R - annual MDPTs, Ŝ - mean value. Colour is used to mark value intervals: 0-5, 6-10, and then every 10, from 11 to 80.
3. Approximate proportion of coded semivariograms with no distinct spatial structure depending on the month and threshold value (percentile, P). Legend: R - annual MDPTs, Ŝ - mean value. Colour is used to mark value intervals: 0-5, 6-10, and then every 10, from 11 to 80.

Chapter V
4. Local statistics of the number of data points within a radius of 35 km from a node of the 1 km interpolation grid, and distances from the node to the nearest data point for months and years with the smallest and the greatest density of the measuring network.
5. Descriptive statistics of annual MDPTs in the years 1956-1980.
7. General result of the Mann-Kendall test for the presence of a linear trend in the statistics of monthly MDPTs.
8. General result of the Mann-Kendall test for the presence of a linear trend in the statistics of differences in the rainfall amount of monthly MDPTs in the nearest sites.
9. List of annual MDPTs exceeding 200 mm registered in Poland in the years 1956-80.

Chapter VI
10. Types of functions (models) used to fit the particular components of composite models of the spatial structure of monthly normalised MDPT data.
11. Summary of the results of testing the significance of seasonal parameter variability in models of the spatial structure of normalised monthly MDPT data using one-way analysis of variance. Differences significant at the p < 0.05 level are marked in bold. Legend: SK - sum of squares, df - number of degrees of freedom, ŠK - mean squares.
12. General result of the Mann-Kendall test for the presence of a linear trend in the parameters of the spatial structure of coded monthly MDPTs.
13. Descriptive statistics of absolute values of the square root of the variance (in mm of precipitation total) of the particular components of models of the spatial structure of MDPTs.

Chapter VII
15. General results of multifactor analysis of variance (MANOVA) of the dependence of standardised parameters of coded data models on the season (month, M-c), relative rainfall amount (percentile, P), and their interaction (M-c*P).
16. Results of multifactor analysis of variance (MANOVA) of the dependence of the particular parameters of coded data models (A1, C0, C1 and C2) on the season (month, M-c), relative rainfall amount (percentile, P), and their interaction (M-c*P). Partial variances (C0, C1 and C2) were standardised prior to calculations.
17. Summary of the results of testing the significance of parameter variability in coded data models of the spatial structure of annual MDPTs depending on the relative rainfall amount using one-way analysis of variance. Differences significant at the p < 0.05 level are marked in bold. Legend: SK - sum of squares, df - number of degrees of freedom, ŠK - mean squares.
18. Summary of the results of testing the significance of parameter variability in coded data models of the spatial structure of monthly MDPTs depending on the absolute rainfall amount using one-way analysis of variance. Differences significant at p < 0.05 level are marked in bold. Legend: SK - sum of squares, df - number of degrees of freedom, ŠK - mean squares.
19. Summary of the results of testing the significance of parameter variability in coded data models of the spatial structure of annual MDPTs depending on the absolute rainfall amount using one-way analysis of variance. Differences significant at p < 0.05 level are marked in bold. Legend: SK - sum of squares, df - number of degrees of freedom, ŠK - mean squares.
20. Summary of the results of modelling relations between the parameters of models of the spatial structure of
coded monthly MDPT data and the absolute rainfall amount. Legend: n - number of data considered, Degree - number of model parameters, R - coefficient of determination of model, R-max - maximum possible coefficient of determination irrespective of model type, SEE - standard estimation error, F - F-statistic of model, p - significance level of model, F-LF - F-statistic of lack of fit, p-LF - significance level of lack of fit. Detailed explanations in the text.

21. Summary of the results of modelling relations between the parameters of models of the spatial structure of coded annual MDPT data and the absolute rainfall amount. Legend: n - number of data considered, Degree - number of model parameters, R - coefficient of determination of model, R-max - maximum possible coefficient of determination irrespective of model type, SEE - standard estimation error, F - F-statistic of model, p - significance level of model, F-LF - F-statistic of lack of fit, p-LF - significance level of lack of fit. Detailed explanations in the text.

22. Summary of the results of modelling relations between the parameters of models of the spatial structure of coded MDPT data for the particular months and the absolute rainfall amount. Legend: n - number of data considered, Degree - degree of polynomial, R - coefficient of determination of model, R-max - maximum possible coefficient of determination irrespective of model type, SEE - standard estimation error, F - F-statistic of model, p - significance level of model, F-LF - F-statistic of lack of fit, p-LF - significance level of lack of fit. Detailed explanations in the text.

23. General result of the Mann-Kendall test for the presence of a linear trend in the parameters of the spatial structure of coded monthly MDPTs.

24. General result of the Mann-Kendall test for the presence of a linear trend in the parameters of the spatial structure of coded annual MDPTs.

Chapter VIII

25. Characteristics of the seasonal probability of occurrence of annual MDPTs. Legend: Σ P (%) - probability sum in season in per cent, minPd (%%) - minimum daily probability in season in per mill, maxPd (%%) - maximum daily probability in season in per mill, średPd (%%) - mean daily probability in season in per mill, gradPd (%%/d) - mean gradient of probability change in season in per mill per day.

26. Statistics of the dates of annual MDPTs in the five regions distinguished. Also presented are the results of Watson's $U^2$-tests of the agreement of the observed distributions with von Mises' distribution (corresponding to the normal distribution for cyclic data) and a uniform distribution.

27. Upper part of the table: a comparison matrix of the mean dates of annual MDPT occurrence in the regions using the Watson-Williams F-test. The lower half of the matrix contains values of the F-statistic, and the upper one, an estimated probability of the null hypothesis that the means are equal. p values given as 0 mean that they were lower than the numerical accuracy of the computer ($1 \times 10^{-16}$). Lower part of the table: a comparison matrix of the distributions of annual MDPT occurrence in the regions using Watson's $U^2$-test. The lower half of the matrix contains values of the U statistic, and the upper one, an estimated probability of the null hypothesis that the distributions are identical.

X. Addenda

28. Characteristics and applications of geostatistical estimations and simulations.

29. Comparison of the statistics of the original set of measurement data of annual MDPTs from 1976 and the results of its estimation (expected IK mean: E-type mean) and simulation (three first realisations) in a grid of $1 \times 1 km$.